UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FILED			
Western	District of	North Car	CHARLOTTE, N.C.
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA			JUN O a con
v.	ORDI	ER OF DETENTION P	ENDING TRIAL
Edward William Wahler	Case Nun	ber: 1:08cr55-2	U.S. DISTRICT
Defendant	_		U.S. DISTRICT COURT
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3 detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.	142(f), a detention hearing l	nas been held. I conclude that th	e following facts fequileChe
	Part I—Findings of Fac		
(1) The defendant is charged with an offense describe	d in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)	and has been convicted of a	☐ federal offense ☐ state
or local offense that would have been a federal off a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. §	ense it a circumstance givin 3156(a)(4).	g rise to lederal jurisdiction had	existed - that is
an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death.			
an offense for which a maximum term of impr	isonment of ten years or mo	ore is prescribed in	
a felony that was committed after the defendan	at had been convicted of tw	o or more prior federal offenses	described in 18 U.S.C.
§ 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or lo	cal offenses.		
(2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense. (3) A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction release of the defendant from imprisonment			
for the offense described in finding (1).	mee the take of convic	tion release of the defenda	ant irom imprisonment
(4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable	e presumption that no cond	ition or combination of condition	ns will reasonably assure the
safety of (an) other person(s) and the community.		-	nption.
(1) There is probable cause to believe that the defenda	Alternative Findings (A) unt has committed an offens		
for which a maximum term of imprisonment o	f ten years or more is presci	ibed in 845.0	891341 + 1344
under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	abliabad bar Gardina 1 daga ar		192 91
(2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption est the appearance of the defendant as required and the	abushed by finding I that no	condition of combination of con	iditions will reasonably assure
	Alternative Findings (B)		
(1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not a	ppear.		
(2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endar	ager the safety of another pe	erson or the community.	
The Delandant	believes h	msell no	f to be a
apsen of the Un	Hed Synt	es of subject	f to U.S.
tows. Leader of	Patrot	movement	Completo
confusion whout no	Jun 11/1	10	7011
Part II—Writt	ten Statement of Reason	is for Detention	wed josses.
I find that the credible testimony and information subm	itted at the hearing establish	es by 🔲 clear and convinci	ng evidence 🔲 a prepon-
derance of the evidence that			•
The Defendant &	xpressed	views tha	1 42 is w 1 5
	700		
Mis court, then ha	mod up	two lander	ritten order
one dismissing this	Gase and	the other ".	arrestina"
undersigned. Part III-	Directions Regarding	Detention	
The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorne to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving	y General or his designated r	epresentative for confinement in a	corrections facility separate,
reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defens	se counsel. On order of a c	ourt of the United States or on r	equest of an attorney for the
Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance			
in connection with a court proceeding.	(ha	1 Ho	-
Date		Signature of Judge	_ .
•	Unite	d States Magistrate Judge	
		Name and Title of Judge	

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).